UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,799	09/10/2003	Seong-Jin Moon	1293.1723	1813	
49455 7590 09/12/2007 STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP			EXAMINER		
1400 EYE STR		TOPGYAL, GELEK W			
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	N DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Wildim Co.	11, 50 20003		2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Diffice Action Summary Examiner			Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Examiner Gelak Topgyal - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.18(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled 1 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stations priend will apply and will exame this (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication or reply is specified above, the maximum stations priend will apply and will exame this (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. Falsure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. by states, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S. C. § 133). Any rophy received by the Office with the time more mailing date of this communication, even if tendy filed, may reduce any events plant time adjustment. Set 57 CFR 1.79(b): Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 27 June 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 31) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are pending in the application. 5) Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are rejected to 20 (12 mills) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are rejected to 20 (12 mills) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are rejected to 20 (12 mills) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 7) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTC	Office Action Summary							
Celek Topgyal 2621								
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Entersions for the major be available under the provision of 30° ER1 13(8), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed 18 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure for every within the set or centeded period for reply will, by statistic, acuse the application to become ABANDOPLO 36 U.S.C. § 1333, Any reply received by the Cliffic liter than rives morthly after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any venture glades that magnitures. 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 June 2007. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are vithdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-38.39 and 40 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-38.39 and 40 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-38.39 and 40 is/are rejected to be provided to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declar					,			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-35(g), in no event, however, may a reply se limitely file. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-35(g), in no event, however, may a reply se limitely file. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-35(g), in no event, however, may a reply se limitely file. Extensions of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-35(g), in no event, however, may a reply se limitely file. Fallure to reply within the set or extended above, the maximum statutory period us papel and will exply and will explicate \$18\$ (g) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Fallure to reply within the set or extended above, the maximum statutor period us application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133), Any reply reduced by the Otto Extension of the maximum statutes and the application to reply within the maximum statutes and the application is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are rejected. 8) Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are rejected. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application provided		The MAII ING DATE of this communication ann		r sheet with the c				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.185(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timery the detection of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.185(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timery the state of this communication. Failuse to reply within the act or estimated protein creative that any objection to the drawing(s) be the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any reduce any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any reduce any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any reduce any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any resolution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in adeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. N			on the cove	Sheet with the ex	orrespondence address			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 June 2007. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)② Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to post the Examiner. 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☒ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properties to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire , cause the application t	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from (6) become ABANDONE	. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The grawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ju</u>	une 2007.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
A) Claim(s) 1-28.39 and 40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	3)							
4) Claim(s) 1-26,39 and 40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-26,39 and 40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Note)/Mail Date		closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on September_2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Institutional Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Dispositi	ion of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-28,39 and 40</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.	wn from consider		· .			
10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Applicati	ion Papers						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	are: a)⊠ accept drawing(s) be held tion is required if th	in abeyance. See e drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	a)	 All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau 	s have been rece s have been rece rity documents ha u (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application ave been receive (a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P	nte			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :2/23/04, 6/28/06, 7/06/06, 8/18/06.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,799 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Nonfunctional descriptive material that does not constitute a statutory process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter and should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. Sec. 101. Certain types of descriptive material, such as music, literature, art, photographs and mere arrangements or compilations of facts or data, without any functional interrelationship is not a process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter. USPTO personnel should be prudent in applying the foregoing guidance. Nonfunctional descriptive material may be claimed in combination with other functional descriptive multi-media material on a computer-readable medium to provide the necessary functional and structural interrelationship to satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. Sec. 101. The presence of the claimed nonfunctional descriptive material is not necessarily determinative of nonstatutory subject matter. For example, a computer that recognizes a particular grouping of musical notes read from memory and upon recognizing that particular sequ3nce, causes another defined series of notes to be played, defines a functional interrelationship among that data and the computing processes performed when utilizing that data, and as such is statutory because it implements a statutory process.

2. Claims 1-21 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. Sec. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 1-21 and 39 recite a mere compilation of data, which does not impart functionality to a computer or computing device, and is thus considered nonfunctional descriptive material. Such nonfunctional descriptive material, in the absence of a functional interrelationship with a computer, does not constitute a statutory process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter and is thus non-statutory per se.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/658,799 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 7-16, 22, 24-25, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cho et al. (US 2002/0176693).
- 5. **Regarding claim 1,** Cho et al. teaches a multimedia data storage medium, comprising:

a first layer storing multimedia data (Fig. 1, Data Zone); and

a second layer in which, when the multimedia data is divided into a record unit and a reproduction unit (the area where audio and video data is recorded (Fig. 1, Data Zone) functions as the location where multimedia data is "recorded" and later "reproduced" from), information of attributes of the record unit and a relationship between the record unit and the reproduction unit are described with a markup language (Fig. 1 and paragraph 31 teaches Script File Zone (SFZ) stores script files in the form of HTML files. The script files includes information of the audio and video data that is recorded on the medium in the "record" and "reproduced" unit).

Regarding claim 2, Cho et al. teaches the claimed wherein the multimedia data recorded on the first layer is video object data, still image data, or audio data (The Data Zone as discussed above records video and audio data).

Application/Control Number: 10/658,799

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 7, Cho et al. teaches the limitations as discussed in claim 1 above, and furthermore, as seen in Fig. 4, the structure of the video information stored on the disc is hierarchical in nature. E.g. multiple VOBUs comprise a VOB unit, and multiple VOB units comprise a PGCI unit.

Claim 8 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 7 above.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Cho et al. teaches wherein the reproduction unit has three layers comprising a cell (Fig. 4, VOBU units are stored in sectors (inherent data block of optical discs)), which designates the record unit or a portion of the record unit, a chapter (Fig. 4, VOB units), and a title (Fig. 4, PGCI) linked to the chapter.

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Cho et al. teaches the claimed wherein the information described using the markup language comprises a clip (Fig. 4, VOBU units), the cell (Fig. 4, VOBU units are stored in sectors (inherent data block of optical discs)), the chapter (Fig. 4, VOB units) and the title (Fig. 4, PGCI unit).

Regarding claims 13-15, Cho et al. teaches that the navigation data stored in Navigation Data Zone (NDZ) controls the reproduction of the reproduction sequence stored on the disc. Figures 2 and 3 show an example of navigation information that is used during reproduction of the stored sequence on the disc.

Regarding claim 16, Cho et al. teaches a multimedia data storage medium, comprising:

a first layer storing multimedia data (Fig. 1, Data Zone); and

a second layer in which, when the multimedia data is divided into a record unit and a reproduction unit (the area where audio and video data is recorded (Fig. 1, Data Zone) functions as the location where multimedia data is "recorded" and later "reproduced" from), information of attributes of the record unit and a relationship between the record unit and the reproduction unit are described in a table format (Fig. 1 and paragraph 31 teaches Script File Zone (SFZ) stores script files in the form of HTML files. The script files includes information of the audio and video data that is recorded on the medium in the "record" and "reproduced" unit. The script files are stored in a table format as illustrated in Figures 6-9).

a third layer storing navigation data of a selection of the reproduction unit and reproduction sequence (Fig. 1, Navigation Data Zone (NDZ)).

Apparatus claim 22 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in medium claim 16 above, and furthermore, a user manipulates the disc to reproduce the audio and video data stored thereon.

Apparatus claim 24 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 16 above.

Apparatus claim 25 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in medium claim 16 and apparatus claim 22 above.

Medium claim 39 and apparatus claim 40 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 16 above, and furthermore, the Navigation data stored in the Navigation Data Zone (NDZ) and as illustrated in Figures 2-3 and 5 (and supporting disclosure) teaches that the playback of the multimedia data stored is controlled by the Navigation data.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,799 Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cho et al. (US 2002/0176693) in view of Fujinami et al. (US 5,455,684).

Regarding claim 3, Cho et al. teaches the claimed wherein the when the multimedia data is video object data, the multimedia data is coded at a variable bit rate (VBR) (an inherent feature of DVD formats, wherein data recorded thereon can be recorded with VBR or with CBR), however fails to particularly teach a reproduction time and linkage information of a position of reproduction data are described as temporal and position information, where the reproduction time and the linkage information are in a table format and recorded on the first layer.

In an analogous art, Fujinami et al. teaches in Fig. 14 and 19 of an entry packet header stored within a GOP unit for defining locations of the three next and the three previous locations of GOPs (-3,-2, -1, +1, +2, +3). This meets the claimed limitation of reproduction time and linkage information stored in a table format.

The system of Cho et al. has inherent features for fast forward and fast rewind, but is not explicitly stated. Figures 4, 8 and 9 shows the format of the medium wherein VOB and VOBU units are stored. The each VOBU unit can store one or more GOPs.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the ability to link a GOP unit with the next and previous GOP locations as taught in Fujinami et al. into the system of Cho et al. in order improve fast forward or fast rewind search.

Regarding claims 4-6, the proposed combination of Cho et al. and Fujinami et al. teaches the limitations as discussed in claim 3 above, and furthermore, Fujinami et al. teaches wherein the record unit comprises a clip (GOP) made by linking the video object data to the temporal and position information (As discussed in claim 3 above, the GOPs meet the claimed "clip" which is linked to by the address information of the three next and the three previous start of GOP). Furthermore, the GOPs (VOBUs in Cho et al.) are stored within the medium, which as discussed in claim 1 above, includes both the "record" and "reproduction" unit.

8. Claims 17-21, 23, 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cho et al. (US 2002/0176693) in view of Niranjan et al. (US 2004/0046778).

Regarding claim 17, Cho et al. teaches the limitations as discussed in claim 16 above, however, fails to particularly teach wherein the navigation data stored in the third layer is a script language that is interpreted and executed.

In an analogous art, Niranjan et al. teaches in paragraphs 36-45 wherein navigation data in the form of XML (script/markup language) information can be stored locally on a PVR.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the ability to store navigation information in the form of markup language, as taught in Niranjan into the navigation data zone of Cho et al. so that devices using markup language as a standard of data can recognize the data and thereby reproduce information stored thereon.

Claim 18 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 17 above, and furthermore, to allow for features of regular playback, and furthermore the features as discussed in paragraph 51 requires "timing and synchronization functions" in the like of time stamps so that audio and video information are presented in synchronization.

Regarding claim 19, it is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 18 above, and furthermore, Niranjan teaches the claimed wherein presentation data is described with a markup language and stored in the third layer, and comprises information for a layout of a menu screen (Paragraph 51) and a screen structure of the reproduction unit (Paragraph 51).

Claim 20 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 19 above.

Claim 21 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 19 above, and furthermore, paragraph 36 teaches of event/scripting information 275.

Apparatus claim 23 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claims 9 and 19 above.

Apparatus claim 26 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 17 above.

Apparatus claim 27 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 18 above.

Apparatus claim 28 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 19 above.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited references teach systems that record navigation data and audio video information on separate layers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gelek Topgyal whose telephone number is 571-272-8891. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am -5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GT 8/23/2007

THAN O TRAN EXAMINER
THAN OPTENTER 2600
THAN OPTENTER 2600